

# If less is more how you're keeping score?

**Excellence**

**From quantity to quality**

**Return on investment**

**What makes a good partner good?**

**Choices**

**Strategic Partnerships**

**Preferential Partners**

## What makes a good partner good?

*Brainstorm: 15 minutes*

*Use Post-it*


*One element per Post-it*




- Quantitative analysis: % of graduates with international experience
  - 2011-2012: 4555 graduates (at MA level), 716 of them had an international experience (at BA or MA level): 15,7%
  - 2012-2013: 4746 graduates (at MA level), 855 of them had an international experience (at BA or MA level): 18%

Be careful: don't use the combination of mobility's and graduates for a given academic year


- Quantitative analysis: Exchange numbers - outgoing



- Quantitative analysis: Exchange numbers - incoming




- Quantitative analysis: Exchange balance





- Quantitative analysis: International students




- Qualitative analysis: Study success

Country	Average credits/day*	Average study success**	Reference group
Denmark	0,17	94%	12
Germany	0,15	78,18%	100
Finland	0,15	70,7%	34
France	0,17	74,11%	81
Italy	0,15	58,82%	174
Lithuania	0,16	87,85%	39
Norway	0,16	73,5%	6
Austria	0,16	65,83%	11
Poland	0,16	77,45%	117
Portugal	0,18	71,14%	50
Spain	0,16	78,91%	354

\*30 credits/sem =  
+/- 0,2 credits/day


\*\*UGent average = 80%  
Exchange average = 70%

- Qualitative analysis: Erasmus Student Survey





- Qualitative analysis: Erasmus Student Survey

During your Erasmus exchange you were confronted with




- Qualitative analysis: Internal Quality Assessment Survey



- But...
  - How to use these data in a structural way?
  - How to make data comparative to identify what makes a partner good?
  - How to make data accessible for all staff involved?

- The need to develop a tool for quality assessment of international cooperation
  - Structurally measuring quality of cooperation
  - Based on limited indicators but maximum information
  - With low work load for the staff involved

- Concept



available  
data

comparable  
scores


easy to read  
information



- Available data
  - Quality of partners
  - Quality of information exchange
  - Impact of the cooperation



- Available data
  - Quality of partners
  - Quality of information exchange
  - Impact of the cooperation
- Limited number of indicators
- From data to scores






Nr.	Name partner institution	Code	Country	C11 - Ranking	C12 - Incoming Students	C13 - Student Support	C14 - Academic Value	C21 - Communication Availability	C22 - Information Availability	C31 - Involvement	C32 - Mobility Rate	C33 - Research Collaboration	C34 - Educational collaboration	FINAL SCORE
1	Rijksuniversiteit Groningen (RuG)	NL GRONING01	Netherlands	7,86	8,94	6,59	6,89	7,04	8,81	8,85	3,60	9,41		75,54
2	Helsingin Yliopisto	SF HELSINK01	Finland	8,09	7,96	5,63	6,64		6,95	9,09	9,87	8,60	0,00	69,79
3	Università degli Studi di Pisa	I PISA01	Italy	4,73	7,96	5,63	7,71		9,06	3,21	9,74	7,87	6,83	69,70
4	Lunds universitet	S LUND01	Sweden	8,17	9,02	6,48	6,98		6,42	4,49	8,59	2,87	9,41	69,37
5	Georg-August-Universität Göttingen	D GOTTING01	Germany	7,95	8,16	5,63	4,79		9,29	5,23	9,23	6,47	5,00	68,60
6	Universität Stuttgart	D STUTTG01	Germany	3,80		9,38	9,58		7,17	1,74	9,74	8,60	4,41	68,04
7	Wageningen University	NL WAGENIN01	Netherlands	7,67	10,00	6,88	7,92		3,30	4,42	8,59	5,00	6,83	67,33
8	Århus Universitet	DK ARHUS01	Denmark	7,87	9,12	7,50	7,36		5,98	3,76	8,97	5,00	5,00	67,29
9	Università degli Studi di Bologna 'Alma Mater Studiorum'	I BOLOGNA01	Italy	5,07	7,13	6,31	6,68		6,71	6,34	5,64	5,00	10,00	65,41
10	Universität Zürich	CH ZURICH01	Switzerland	8,27		7,50	6,67		10,00	2,75	9,49	5,00	2,42	65,11
11	Technische Universiteit Eindhoven	NL EINDHOV17	Netherlands	5,99	9,50					1,46	9,62	10,00	2,42	64,98
12	Universiteit Utrecht	NL UTRECHT01	Netherlands	8,52	9,18	5,63	5,97		5,19	5,96	9,10	8,60	0,00	64,61
13	Københavns Universitet	DK KOBENHA01	Denmark	8,28	8,10	6,47	7,19		6,65	7,07	6,54	5,00	2,42	64,14
14	Universidade do Porto	P PORTO02	Portugal	2,40	8,42	5,71	7,61		6,11	8,08	8,97	5,00	5,00	63,66
15	Danmarks Tekniske Universitet	DK LYNGBY01	Denmark	6,87	9,63	6,43	7,62		7,71	1,46	9,36	5,00	2,42	62,78
16	Universiteit Leiden	NL LEIDEN01	Netherlands	8,48		6,04	8,33		7,92	5,33	8,85	5,00	0,00	62,44
17	Universidad de Granada	E GRANADA01	Spain	1,32	6,76	5,94	7,21		6,68	5,40	7,69	10,00	5,00	62,22
18	Universidad Complutense de Madrid	E MADRID03	Spain	3,82	9,06	6,25	6,18		4,94	5,96	9,36	3,60	6,83	62,22
19	Technische Universität Dresden	D DRESDEN02	Germany	4,44	8,50	7,81	7,92		6,70	3,66	9,36	5,00	2,42	62,02
20	Universiteit van Amsterdam	NL AMSTERD01	Netherlands	8,22	8,45	5,63	6,81		8,96	3,48	9,10	5,00	0,00	61,84
21	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam	NL AMSTERD02	Netherlands	6,98	8,76	5,81	7,66		6,94	6,06	8,21	5,00	0,00	61,57
22	Università degli Studi di Roma 'La Sapienza'	I ROMA01	Italy	5,38	6,62	6,12	6,11		8,41	6,06	8,85	2,87	5,00	61,57
23	Università degli Studi di Milano	I MILANO01	Italy	5,33	7,81	5,89	7,86		6,20	4,22	10,00	5,00	2,42	60,80
24	Uppsala universitet	S UPPSALA01	Sweden	8,09		5,70	6,35		7,37	3,13	7,95	5,00	5,00	60,75
25	Universität Bern	CH BERN01	Switzerland	6,74	8,29	7,50	5,00		10,00	2,93	6,67	5,00	2,42	60,61
26	Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam	NL ROTTERD01	Netherlands	7,73		6,88	7,53		6,20	3,03	8,97	3,60	4,41	60,44
27	Universität Leipzig	D LEIPZIG01	Germany	3,19	7,90	5,83	7,50		6,82	3,48	9,62	5,00	4,84	60,21
28	Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen	D AACHEN01	Germany	6,38		6,25	7,22		6,76	3,21	8,85	5,00	4,41	60,10
29	Universität Wien	A WIEN01	Austria	6,58		8,13	6,67		6,70	2,20	8,21	5,00	4,41	59,86
30	Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6	F PARIS006	France	8,51	9,66	5,31	6,46		4,95	1,01	10,00	2,87	5,00	59,75
31	Universitat de Barcelona	E BARCELO01	Spain	5,74	7,56	6,25	6,93		6,76	7,90	9,87	0,00	2,42	59,38
32	Universität Konstanz	D KONSTAN01	Germany	4,46		7,50	10,00		10,00	1,01	7,95	6,47	0,00	59,23
33	Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich	CH ZURICH07	Switzerland	9,66		6,67	6,67		9,06	1,74	8,59	5,00	0,00	59,23
34	Aristoteleio Panepistimio Thessalonikis	G THESSAL01	Greece	1,99	6,35	6,61	7,14		7,31	4,67	9,23	10,00	0,00	59,22
35	The University of Nottingham	UK NOTTING01	United Kingdom	7,95		6,75	6,17		8,75	2,47	9,36	5,00	0,00	58,06
36	Politecnico di Milano	I MILANO02	Italy	4,53	8,07	6,25	8,50		8,12	2,30	9,23	5,00	0,00	57,77
37	Univerzita Karlova v Praze	CZ PRAHA07	Czech Republic	4,25	9,20	7,32	7,50		7,58	4,60	7,95	3,60	0,00	57,77
38	Université de Strasbourg	F STRASBO48	France	6,11		5,63	6,76		7,97	0,73	8,97	10,00	0,00	57,70

- Available data
  - Quality of partners
  - Quality of information exchange
  - Impact of the cooperation
- Limited number of indicators
- From data to scores
- From scores to visualisations and reports



- Visualisation



- Reports

**C3. IMPACT OF THE COOPERATION**

**C3\_I1 INVOLVEMENT**

PARTNER SCORE:

General score: **8,81/10**

EXPLANATION:

9 of the 11 faculties at Ghent University cooperate with Rijksuniversiteit Groningen (RuG). There are agreements in 17 study fields.

There is an very high involvement from faculties and study fields in the cooperation with Rijksuniversiteit Groningen (RuG).

**C3\_I2 MOBILITY RATE**

PARTNER SCORE:

General score:	<b>8,85/10</b>
Annual activity:	<b>10,00/10</b>
Balance:	<b>7,69/10</b>

EXPLANATION:

During the last 3 years, there was mobility during 3 years (students and/or staff) between Ghent University and Rijksuniversiteit Groningen (RuG).

During the past 3 years there were 18 outgoing student(s) and 27 incoming student(s).

- Final goal: **an online tool for peer assessment in international cooperation**
  - Based on mutual data input
  - Generate reports on quality of international partners
  - And reports on your own quality as perceived by your partners
  - In order to improve the quality on both sides of the cooperation

- International dimension
  - Expanding to international partners
  - Starting with a limited group of international test users
  - Invited via Flemish HEI's
  - After project finished: ready to use tool for interested HEI
  - Development of peer assessment



- Timing
  - January 2015: Start
  - June 2015: Invitation to international test users
  - September 2015: Start testing phase @ EAIE
  - September 2016: Final evaluation
  - December 2016: Launch tool



## Contact

Project leader eQuATIC - [Paul@eQuATIC.eu](mailto:Paul@eQuATIC.eu)

Institutional coordinator - [Thijs.Verbeurgt@UGent.be](mailto:Thijs.Verbeurgt@UGent.be)